

## **Agenda item 4.1.(c)**

Paragraph 23 of the annotated agenda

# Revision to AMS-III.BK: Strategic feed supplementation in smallholder dairy sector to increase productivity

**CDM EB 112**

Glasgow, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, 26 to 29 October 2021



## Procedural background

---

- While considering the bottom-up submission “*SSC-NM106: Change in smallholder cattle emissions intensity*”, MP identified an error in the calculation of project emissions related to the amount of supplement consumed by the project animals in AMS-III.BK.
- The proposed revision to correct an error was initiated in accordance with “*Procedure: Development, revision and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools*”.
- A call for public inputs for the methodology was conducted between 05/10/2021 and 19/10/2021. No comments were received.



## Purpose

---

- The purpose of the revision is to correct an error in the calculation of project emissions related to the amount of supplement consumed by the project animals.



- **Technology/measure**

- a) To reduce emissions per unit of milk through strategic supplementation to large ruminants (i.e., cows and/or buffaloes).
  - Supplementation improves digestion and promotes increased productivity

- **Applicability**

- a) Lactating animals in smallholders  $\leq 100$ ;
- b) Supplement based on nutritional requirements in the project region (e.g. to include macronutrients, minerals) and complies with local regulation;
- c) Gross energy (GE) content of supplement does not exceed 10% of the total GE content of the baseline basal ration.



- **Issues identified by MP**

$$PE_y = \sum_s \left( SEF_{PJ,s,y} \times (FCM_{PJ,s,y} \times N_{PJ,s,y}) \right) \times \frac{GWP_{CH4}}{1,000} + PE_{EG,y} + PE_{trans,y} \quad \text{Equation (11)}$$

- In the current version of the methodology,  **$N_{PJ,s,y}$ : Number of “equivalent” project animals** is calculated, based on the share (%US<sub>s,y</sub>) of supplement taken by the project animals.
- However, when equations (15) and (16) of the methodology are applied, the parameter (%US<sub>s,y</sub>) cancels out, therefore it does not influence the calculation of the project emissions.
- On the other hand, the number of project animals  **$N_{PJ,s,y}$**  is a monitoring parameter.
- This error has been corrected in the proposed revision.



- The revision of the methodology will correct the error identified and ensure accurate estimation of emission reductions from CDM project activities.
- No registered CDM projects/PoAs applying AMS-III.BK



## Recommendations to the Board

---

- The MP recommends that the Board adopt the draft revised methodology.



# Extra slides





- **Emission reductions**

a) Calculated:  $(EF_b - EF_p) \times \text{Project milk production}$ ;

b) Emission factor is following IPCC approach:

$$EF = \left[ \frac{GE \cdot \left( \frac{Y_m}{100} \right) \cdot 365}{55.65} \right] \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{V4\_10\_Ch10,} \\ \text{2006 IPCC} \end{array}$$

- For Gross Energy (GE), stratified multi-stage cluster sampling is required to collect data on type and quantity feedstuff.
  - Energy content and digestibility per type of feedstuff are determined in recognized laboratory.
- Methane conversion factor ( $Y_m$ ) taken from IPCC (7.5%).



(b) Step 2: determine the discount factor to account for portion of purchased supplement given to non-productive animals or wasted ( $\%US_{s,y}$ ).

In this step, a discount factor is determined to account for supplement that has been purchased by participating farmers but not utilized by the productive female population (i.e. males, immature females, and non-large ruminant species).

$$\%US_{s,y} = \frac{\sum_r US_{r,s,y}}{\sum_r PS_{r,s,y}} \quad \text{Equation (15)}$$

Where:

- $\%US_{s,y}$  = Discount factor to account for supplement fed to non-productive animals or lost to waste in production category  $s$  in year  $y$  (percentage)
- $US_{r,s,y}$  = Amount of supplement fed to non-productive animals or lost to waste of project respondent herd  $r$  in production category  $s$  in year  $y$  (kilograms/year)
- $PS_{r,s,y}$  = Amount of supplement purchased for project respondent herd  $r$  in production category  $s$  in year  $y$  (kilograms/year)



(c) Step 3: calculate the equivalent number of productive females per project category ( $N_{PJ,s,y}$ ).

In this step, the equivalent number of productive females on lactation in a given production category is calculated ( $N_{PJ,s,y}$ ). The result from equation (16) below shall be further cross checked with  $NP_{s,y}$ , i.e. it shall be less than or equal to  $NP_{s,y}$  for the same category  $s$ . Otherwise, the smaller number between the two shall be used as the  $N_{PJ,s,y}$ .

$$N_{PJ,s,y} = \frac{GS_{s,y} \times (1 - \%US_{s,y}) \times \sum_r SA_{r,s,y}}{\sum_r PS_{r,s,y} - \sum_r US_{r,s,y}} \quad \text{Equation (16)}$$

Where:

- $N_{PJ,s,y}$  = Number of equivalent productive animals on lactation within production category  $s$  provided with supplementation in year  $y$  (number of animals)
- $GS_{s,y}$  = Total amount of supplement purchased by participating farmers in production category  $s$  in year  $y$  (kilograms/year)
- $\%US_{s,y}$  = Discount factor to account for supplement fed to non-productive animals or lost to waste in a given production category in year  $y$  (percentage)
- $SA_{r,s,y}$  = Number of productive females of respondent herd  $r$  in production category  $s$  in the survey sample in year  $y$  (number of animals)
- $PS_{r,s,y}$  = Amount of supplement purchased for project respondent herd  $r$  in production category  $s$  in year  $y$  (kilograms/year)
- $US_{r,s,y}$  = Amount of supplement fed to non-productive animals or lost to waste in project respondent herd  $r$  in production category  $s$  in year  $y$  (kilograms/year)